

213.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.770 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:19.01.2016

New India Assurance Company Limited

... Petitioner

versus

Parmod Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved that in spite of an order of stay granted by this court, the Tribunal has proceeded to dispose of the case and there is an appeal filed before this court and stay has been granted.

2. In the light of submissions made, the revision has become infructuous and disposed of as such. However, there is a need to elicit the reason about how the Tribunal proceeded to dispose of the case after the proceedings were ordered to be stayed by an order of court on 05.02.2014.

3. The Registry shall elicit response from the Presiding Officer who had disposed of the MACT Case No.295 of 2011 before

the MACT, Panchkula and place the response of the Judicial Officer
on file at the adjourned date on 10.02.2016.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

19.01.2016
sanjeev