

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.7932 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:23.11.2016

Baljeet

... Petitioner

Vs.

Banne Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 25.10.2016, whereby, his evidence has been closed by the Court order.

Mr. Saurabh Dalal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case, opportunity is granted, subject to any terms and conditions which this Court deem fit, the petitioner will conclude the entire evidence. He further submits that no prejudice would be caused to the respondents, who shall be compensated in terms of costs.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book.

No doubt, the petitioner had taken three effective opportunities to lead evidence and failed to do so, but I am of the view that the lis between the parties is required to be adjudicated on merits and not on

technicalities. In order to render justice, I deem it appropriate, to grant two effective opportunities to the petitioner before the Court below to conclude entire evidence.

Accordingly, the revision petition stands allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 23, 2016
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No