

217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-7829-2013

Date of decision : 02.08.2016

Sachin Jain

... Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Executive Officer, Haryana Wakf Board and another

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Tanmay Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Attar Ahmed, Advocate
for the respondent(s).

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties, but the compromise deed has not signed.

In view of the aforementioned statement, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition and the same has been rendered infructuous. Accordingly, the revision petition is ordered to be dismissed as infructuous.

However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to revive the case in case something survives.

Dismissed as infructuous with the aforesaid liberty.

02.08.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No