

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.787 of 2016
Date of decision : 08.07.2016

Harvinderjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Kulwinderjit Singh and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. M.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjay Pathania, Advocate for
Mr. Lakhinder Bir Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Challenge in the present revision petition is to the impugned order, whereby cross-examination of the plaintiff-evidence is treated as nil.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case one opportunity subject to the terms and conditions is granted, he will cross-examine the witnesses of the respondent-plaintiff.

In view of the aforementioned fact, I deem it appropriate to grant one opportunity to the petitioner-defendant to cross-examine the

witnesses of the plaintiff whose cross-examination was treated as Nil on the date fixed by the trial Court.

Accordingly, impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-defendant shall cross-examine the witnesses of the plaintiff in accordance with law, subject to payment of cost of ₹5,000/- which shall be condition precedent.

Accordingly, revision petition stands allowed.

08.07.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**