

Sr.No.382

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA CHANDIGARH

CWP-16587-1994(O&M)

Decided on: 02.03.2016

Lehri and othersPetitioners

vs.

State of HaryanaRespondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mahesh Grover

Present: Mr. R.K.Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr.Rajneesh Chadwal, D.A.G. Haryana.
Mr. G.S.Bhatia, Advocate
for WAKF Board – respondent no.2.

**

Mahesh Grover, J

On 05.11.2014, this Court had noticed as under:-

“On 25.09.2014, following order was passed:

“In order dated 21.11.1994, it is mentioned that impugned notification (Annexure P-3) dated 14.01.1993 is under challenge in CWP No.2755-1994. Registry is directed to list the case along with CWP No.2755-1994 on 05.11.2014.”

Registry has pointed out that CWP-2755-1994 has been dismissed as withdrawn on 06.01.1995.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in spite of intimation sent to the petitioners, no one has contacted him and now he has no instructions to appear on behalf of the petitioners.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

However, liberty is granted that if learned counsel

for the petitioners gets instructions to appear on behalf of the petitioners, he may move application for revival of this petition. ”

Thereafter on the application moved by the petitioner the abovesaid order was recalled and the case was restored to its original number on 23.02.2015. Since then no serious effort has been made to argue the case. Time was prayed for on 29.04.2015, 19.08.2015, 02.09.2015, 18.11.2015, 03.02.2016, 10.02.2016 and on 24.02.2016.

Today Mr. Verma states that he has no instructions from his client.

If that be so, then there is no reason to keep the petition alive any more particularly in context of the order dated 28.09.2014 when a similar situation was recorded to order dismissal. Granting restoration has also not yielded any attempt to argue the matter.

Dismissed for want of prosecution.

02.03.2016
mamta

(MAHESH GROVER)
JUDGE