

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.783 of 2016
Date of Decision.04.02.2016**

Suman Kumar Bawa
Vs.Petitioner

Neena Rani and others
.....Respondents

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

1. The written statement was not filed within 90 days on account of the fact that there was application pending for consideration on whether the plaintiff should be permitted to prosecute the suit as indigent person under Order 33 Rule 1 CPC or not . Till such permission is granted, the suit cannot be taken as having been instituted and 90 days time will begin to run only after the petition is converted as a suit. The order already passed is set aside. The Court will grant time for filing written statement after consideration of the issue whether the plaintiff should be permitted to prosecute the case as indigent person or not.
2. I dispense with notice to the respondents and proceed to dispose of the revision petition on the above observations.

**(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE**

February 04, 2016
Pankaj*