

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.7770 of 2016
Date of decision:22.11.2016

Rachhpal Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

Smt. Karamjit Kaur and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Alok Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

Mr. Alok Mittal, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that caveator/plaintiffs are aggrieved of the pendency of the appeal bearing No.54 dated 01.06.2013 filed against the judgment and decree dated 17.05.2013 passed by the then Additional Civil Judge, Senior Division, Derabassi in civil Suit No.130 of 2005/2008, vide which the suit for possession by way of specific performance and injunction, has been decreed. In this regard, zimni orders, Annexure P-4, (from page 124 to 159) have been placed on record to indicate the factum of pendency of the appeal.

I am of the view that the appeal against the judgment and decree cannot be kept pending adjudication for a period of three years and most of the miscellaneous applications are with regard to bringing on record the LRs, nothing beyond.

The present revision petition is disposed of with an appropriate direction to the concerned Court, i.e., Additional District Judge, Mohali to decide the aforementioned appeal, as expeditiously as possible preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the aforementioned observations, revision petition stands disposed of.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 22, 2016
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No