

241.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7788 of 2015

Date of decision:21.03.2016

Bharat Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Girraj and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Kul Bhushan Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sameer S. Tiwari, Advocate,
for Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate,
for respondents 1 to 3.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. At the previous hearing on 17.03.2016, the issue was confined merely to elicit an information from the respondents as to why he wanted re-examination to be done and what was the clarification which was required to be given. This direction became essential because in the application seeking for re-examination, there were no reasons given.

2. Counsel for the respondents is still not able to assist the court with any information and the attempt of the court to elicit some justification has become unavailable.

3. The impugned order is set aside and the civil revision is allowed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

21.03.2016

sanjeev