

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7487 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 14, 2016

Kishan Singh @ Kishan Chand

...Petitioner

Versus

Gurnam Singh and others

...Respondents

ORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. YK Aneja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The revision is at the instance of a judgment-debtor, who has been directed to refund the purchase money put in by the auction purchaser, while setting aside the auction.
2. The order is erroneous. If the amount in deposit made by the purchaser is withdrawn by the decree-holder, he alone shall refund the money and not the judgment debtor.
3. The impugned order is set aside and the revision is allowed.

March 14, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE