

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 765 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 15, 2016

Sh. Bhagwan Das Bindal

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Dharam Pal and others

...Respondents

ORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. Pending suit the alienation had been made despite an order of injunction. The plaintiff moved an application for amending the plaint to make a reference to the transaction subsequent to the order of injunction granted by the court below. The application was rejected. The revision is against that order. I find the order to be not tenable and set aside the same, for, the plaintiff was entitled to bring a subsequent event of a transaction in defiance of the court order and bring suitable amendment to secure the reliefs that were appropriate in the changed circumstance.

2. The impugned order is set aside and the revision is allowed.

March 15, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE