

202.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7642 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision:27.05.2016

Komal Chadha

... Petitioner

versus

Jasmeet Madan

.... Respondent

II. Civil Revision No.7880 of 2013 (O&M)

Jasmeet Madan

... Petitioner

versus

Komal Chadha

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Ms. Jatinder Jit Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner in CR No.7642 of 2013 and
for the respondent in CR No.7880 of 2013.

None for the petitioner in CR No.7880 of 2013.

Mr. G.S. Attariwala, Advocate,
for the respondent in CR No.7642 of 2013.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. It is represented by the counsel for the wife that the matrimonial proceeding itself has been concluded and the husband

has filed an appeal against the judgment. Any plea for maintenance passed at the interlocutory stage would not require consideration and it will be open for the husband to make appropriate pleas for maintenance that he would be liable to pay in the appeal which is pending. I find no reason for making any order modifying or varying the order of maintenance already granted under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act.

2. The respondent is at liberty to approach this court for any review or modification if the information furnished by the wife through counsel about the pendency of appeal is found to be erroneous.

3. Both the revision petitions are disposed of.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

27.05.2016
sanjeev