

237.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7711 of 2015

Date of decision:16.03.2016

Mann Singh

... Petitioner

versus

Surinder Kumar

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Navin Saini, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The revision has become infructuous since the decree which was granted by the trial Court has been set aside by the appellate court. The execution before the Executing Court was not any longer competent. The petitioner will be at liberty to renew his efforts if he obtains favourable decree at this court before which the second appeal is reported to be pending but not numbered.

2. Revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

16.03.2016

sanjeev