

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10731 OF 1995
DATE OF DECISION : 1st JUNE, 2016

Narain Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula & others

.... Respondents

CWP No.3389 OF 1996

Ashok Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority & others

.... Respondents

CWP No.5144 of 1996

Om Parkash & others

.... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

* * * *

Present : None for the petitioner.

 Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

 None for remaining respondents.

* * * *

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

This judgment shall dispose of CWP No.10731 of 1995, CWP No.3389 of 1996 and CWP No.5144 of 1966, involving common question(s) of law. For brevity, the facts are being taken from CWP No.10731 of 1995.

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondent to release all the consequential benefits as admissible to him as per award dated 20.02.1985 passed by the Labour Court and to regularize his services w.e.f. 01.01.1987 instead of 03.08.1993.

Vide order dated 09.01.1995 (Annexure P-9) service of the petitioner was regularized w.e.f. 03.08.1993 instead of 01.01.1987. An affidavit dated 19.03.2016 has been filed by Executive Engineer, HUDA, wherein it has been stated that the award dated 20.10.1985 passed by the Labour court has attained finality, as the SLP against the said award filed by the HUDA, was dismissed on 03.03.1994. Thereafter, the respondents have granted necessary benefits to the petitioner by promoting him as Junior Engineer and he has been released entire benefits in terms of the Labour Court award. As per office order No.147-150 dated 12.01.2015, his services have since been regularized w.e.f. 01.01.1987 instead of 03.08.1993. The petitioner has been given the promotion as Work Munshi vide order dated 04.08.2000 and thereafter he has worked as Work Munshi till 31.01.2016. Moreover, there is quota fixed in regulation for promotion as Junior Engineer. Therefore, no relief can be granted in this regard.

In view of the affidavit filed by Executive Engineer, HUDA, no relief as prayed, can be granted to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

1st June, 2016
'raj'

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE