

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

--

CR 7532 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 18.02.2016

Raj Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Parvati and others

..... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate
 for the petitioner

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notice to respondents No. 1 and 2 could not be issued as counsel for the petitioner did not furnish their correct addresses.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the suit filed by respondents No. 1 and 2/plaintiffs has since been disposed of by the trial court and the appeal is pending before the first appellate Court.

As the suit filed by respondents No. 1 and 2 has already been disposed of by the trial Court, the present petition is rendered infructuous and is disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to file an appropriate application before the appellate Court for impleadment.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

18.02.2016
mohan bimbra