

233.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.7534 of 2015

Date of decision:08.02.2016

Rajmal

... Petitioner

versus

Mrs. Veenu and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, Advocate,
for respondents 1 to 3.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. 6th respondent duly served. None appears.
2. The counsel says no notice is necessary for respondents 4 and 5 and the contesting respondents are only respondents 1 to 3. Notice to respondents 4 and 5 is dispensed with.
3. The counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents states that the claimants who are also the court auction purchaser have no objection for the sale to be set aside since the entire amount due under the award had been paid through a settlement effected outside court. Taking the respondents' plea, the court auction sale is ordered to be set aside and the civil revision is allowed on the above terms.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

08.02.2016

sanjeev