

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.M No. 68-CII of 2016 in/and
C.R No. 7499 of 2015
Date of Decision : 14.01.2016**

Pawan Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Padam Chand Jain and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ram Darshan Yadav, Advocate
for the applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)
C.M No.68-CII of 2016

For the reasons recorded, the application is allowed. The date of hearing is preponed and the main case is taken up for hearing today itself.

C.R No. 7499 of 2015

This petition has been filed challenging the order declining permission to summon the respondent No.1 for admission/denial of the sale deeds.

The respondent No.1 filed the ejectment petition for evicting the petitioner from the premises in dispute. One year after the evidence commenced, the petitioner had moved the application for calling/
summoning the respondent No.1 for the purpose of admission and denial of

the sale deeds. The Court has observed that the property covered in the sale deeds were agricultural land and had no connection with the property in dispute and also that the delay in filing the application was fatal.

I see no reason to take a different view to that taken by the Court below. Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Civil Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 14, 2016
parveen sharma

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE