

**CR-7449-2015**  
**Date of decision : 08.01.2016**

..... Petitioner

Swami Saran and another

..... Respondents

\*\*\*

\*\*\*

Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the order dated 19.10.2015 whereby the Rent Controller, Ambala has not permitted her to place written statement on record.

The facts are that the respondent had filed an eviction petition against the petitioner and her father. Both the petitioner and her father engaged the counsel and had signed the vakalatnama. The written statement was also filed on behalf of both of them but inadvertently the petitioner could not sign the same but the case proceeded and now is at the fag end

and at this stage the petitioner has moved the present application. Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 states that the petitioner has suffered no prejudice. The joint counsel engaged by her and her father has filed the written statement on her behalf also and he would have no objection if that written statement is now read notwithstanding the fact that the same has not been signed by the petitioner.

In these circumstances I see no reason to interfere.

Petition is dismissed.

**08.01.2016**

*Pooja Sharma-I*

**( AJAY TEWARI )  
JUDGE**