

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7404 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.11.2016

Parveen Dhiman

.....PETITIONER(s).

VERSUS

Nidhi Kukal

....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Briz Mohan, Advocate
for Mr. Simranjeet S. Sarwara, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that ejectment order against the revision-petitioner has already been passed by learned Rent Controller and the remedy available to revision-petitioner is to file appeal against that order, as such, this revision-petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of submission of learned counsel for revision-petitioner, the instant revision-petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

November 07, 2016.

jk

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No