

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

**CM No.9984-CI of 2016
in/and
RFA No.340 of 2002(O & M)
Date of Decision:07.09.2016**

Hardit Singh and others

....appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Amit Babbar, Advocate
for the appellants

Mr.R.S.Malik, Senior Standing Counsel for UOI

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

CM No.9984-CI of 2016

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the appeal is taken on Board.

RFA No.340 of 2002

Learned counsel for the appellants submits that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the judgement dated 04.04.2016, rendered by this Court in **RFA No.2037 of 2000**, titled “Union of India and another versus Pardan Singh and others”, vide which, in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this Court had uniformly enhanced the compensation to ₹ 2453 per marla.

The factual position as set out above, is not disputed by the learned State counsel.

That being so, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the decision dated 04.04.2016 rendered by this court in the case of Pardan Singh (supra).

07.09.2016

neenu

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No
Yes/No