134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 7333 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision : 27.10.2016

M/S Jyoti Build Tech (P) Ltd. .....Petitioner

vs

Punjab Ware Supply and Sewerage Board and ors

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

***

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

****

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in a petition under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 filed by the petitioner, an application for interim relief was also filed. Additional District Judge, Amritsar (Mrs.Preeti Sawhney) has already heard the arguments on interim relief on 26.10.2016 but order has not been pronounced so far. Respondent, on the other hand, has applied for encashment of the bank guarantee without waiting for the decision.

This revision petition is disposed of with a direction to the Additional District Judge, Amritsar to pass an appropriate

Sunita Nagpal
2016.10.27 15:19
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity y of this document
Chandigarh

order on the application for interim relief by tomorrow i.e. 28.10.2016. In the event of any adverse order against the petitioner, the Court would be at liberty to consider prayer for interim relief for a specified period in order to enable the petitioner to avail further remedies in accordance with law. Till decision on the interim application, the bank guarantee shall not be encashed.

A copy of this order be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner under the signatures of the Bench Secretary of this Court.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 27, 2016
sunita

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No

Sunita Nagpal
2016.10.27 15:19
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity y of this document
Chandigarh