

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10316 of 1995

Date of decision:17.2.2016

Gurdarshan Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Manuja, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties. Perused the record presented in the case file with their assistance.

2. I cannot see my way to distinguish the decision of this Court delivered in CWP No.11557 of 1994, dismissing the petition on July 19, 2006 holding that the service record of the petitioner did not justify promotion to the post of Senior Fisheries Officer. In this petition, the same person claims promotion to yet higher post but is faced with the same service record and confidential rolls with no visible difference in them or any change or value addition therein to be considered by promoting authority for the relevant period to take a different view.

3. For the reasons recorded in the order of the learned Single Judge in the earlier case filed by the petitioner, the relief prayed in this petition must suffer the same dispensation and no other.

4. Accordingly, the petition is found without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

17.2.2016