

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.265)

CR-7216-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-14.12.2016

Bajaj Auto Limited

...Petitioner

V/S

Anand Parkash and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present : Mr. Vikas Bahl, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jasdev Singh Mehndiratta, Advocate, and
Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gunjan Mehta, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. C.S. Pasricha, Advocate, and
Mr. Sunny Kohli, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

Darshan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that the present petition may be dismissed as withdrawn, as after the filing of the present petition, the petitioner has already moved an application under Order 39 Rule 4 of Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for vacation/modification of the injunction order .

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Ordered accordingly.

DECEMBER 14, 2016

pankaj

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No

Pankaj Kakkar
2016.12.17 10:45
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document