

**109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-720-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 30.03.2016**

Inderjit Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

Dinesh Kant

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ramesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the respondent.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent orders of the Courts below allowing ejectment of the petitioner-tenant from the demised premises.

Today on instructions from his client the learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner will not press this petition on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 31.12.2016 without forcing the respondent to file an execution petition and will continue to pay the rent in advance by the 7th of every month on contractual rate and pay the other admissible charges regularly.

Learned counsel for the respondent after taking instructions has also accepted this offer on the condition that the petitioner will file an undertaking to the above effect before the Executing Court within one month, failing which, this revision should be deemed to be dismissed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, the revision petition is disposed of in the above terms, and subject to the deposit arrears of rent w.e.f. October, 2007 till date within a period of one month from today.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

March 30, 2016
Pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE