

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CR No. 7150 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision : January 14, 2016

Avtar Singh through L.Rs

..... Petitioners

Versus

State Bank of Patiala and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Ranjit Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Umang K Khosla, Advocate
for respondent No.1-SBOP.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

The petitioners are aggrieved of the order whereby the property has been attached and put to sale for execution of the judgment and decree of ₹4,61,958/- along with interest.

This court while issuing notice of motion on 28.10.2015 had imposed a condition of deposit of 50% of the decretal amount. However, the said amount has not been deposited.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for recovery of the aforementioned amount along with interest, land measuring 33 Kanals 11 Marlas has been ordered to be sold, which is a big chunk of land and the attachment and sale of a lesser area would suffice execution of the ex-parte judgment and decree dated

16.12.2002.

In this regard, I am of the view that petitioners are at liberty to move an appropriate application, before the executing court viz-a-viz attachment and sale of lesser area of land as decree is for recovery only along with interest from the date of filing of the suit.

No interference is warranted. With the aforementioned observations, the revision petitions stands dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 14 , 2016
archana