

214.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6850 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:19.05.2016

Sonia

... Petitioner

versus

Amar Singh

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Manohar Lall, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Garg, Advocate,
for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. In an appeal against a decree for right to immovable property, there was a delay of 76 days in filing the appeal. The delay has not been condoned. I am of the view that adjudication in relation to immovable property would require a full-fledged consideration on merits and if there is a delay, the panacea will be imposition of costs. The order already passed is set aside and the delay is ordered to be condoned on payment of costs of ₹5,000/- to the respondent. The amount shall be paid within a period of 4 weeks

from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which, the order already passed will remain. On proof of payment of costs as directed, the court will readmit the appeal and dispose of the case on merits.

2. The revision petition is allowed on the above terms.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

19.05.2016
sanjeev