

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 7126 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.01.2016

P.K. Chhibber

... Petitioner

versus

Aruna Kumari and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

K.Kannan, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the observations made in the application filed for rejection of a plaint that was adverse to the petitioner ought not to be the governing consideration for disposal of the case on merits. There is a little doubt about such a proposition and it is always that an order in interlocutory application will be govern only the matter at the interlocutory stage and cannot affect an adjudication at the trial.

The revision petition is disposed of with the above observation allowing the party to take up all the objections which are legally tenable and it will be considered at the time of the judgment by the trial Court without being in any way fettered by the observations made at the interlocutory stage. Respondents are not served. I exempt notice to the respondents in the light of limited submissions made by the counsel.

22.01.2016

kv

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**