

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
15:07
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR No. 7025 of 2013
Date of decision : January 14, 2016

Kuljit Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

Surinder Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter has been compromised between the parties, which fact is disputed by learned Senior counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 for want of information from his client, and prays for withdrawal of the revision petition.

Ordered accordingly.

The revision is dismissed as withdrawn.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 14 , 2016
archana