

229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6814-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.01.2016

Sanjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Gurmail Singh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 to 4.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that no cause of action survives in the present revision petition as the suit has been decided on merits.

In view of the statement made on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioners, the revision petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

11.01.2016
yogesh