

494.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6723 of 2005

Date of decision: 16.02.2016

Sheodan Singh and another

... Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Siddharth Sanwaria, DAG, Haryana.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners' objection was that a decree which was registered by the Registering Officer in the year 1998 was sought to be reopened for violation under Section 47-A of the Stamp Act on an audit objection. The contention is that the power to raise the issue of violation ought to be exercised within a period of 3 years under Section 47-A(3) of the Stamp Act. The attempt is being made after the period stipulated under law.

2. The objection is upheld and the impugned orders are set aside. The revision petition is allowed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

16.02.2016

sanjeev