

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CR No. 7024 of 2015**

**Date of decision :05.05.2016**

**Romi**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Ajay**

**.....Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH**

Present: Mr.Ram Pal Verma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Alankrit Bhardwaj, Advocate  
for the respondent.

**\*\*\*\***

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?Yes.**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?Yes.**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?Yes.**

**\*\*\*\***

**DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)**

The present revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 14.09.2015, passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Jhajjar, whereby the application filed by the petitioner-wife under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, has been partly allowed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the learned trial Court has granted the interim maintenance to the petitioner-wife at the rate of Rs. 5000/- per month. He contended that the amount of maintenance *pendente lite*

awarded by the learned trial Court is very less and is not sufficient to meet out the daily expenses. He contended that the respondent-husband is a government employee having good salary, so, the petitioner-wife is entitled to maintenance *pendente lite* at-least at the rate of Rs. 10,000/- per month. She should also be awarded Rs. 90,000/- for education expenses and Rs. 50,000/- as litigation expenses.

3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent contended that the respondent-husband is facing various litigations initiated by the petitioner-wife. He has to spend huge amount to defend the unwarranted litigation. He further contended that the respondent-husband has additional liability to maintain his mother and younger brother. Keeping in view, the responsibilities of the respondent and his salary, there is no scope for enhancement of the amount of the maintenance *pendente lite*.

4. I have duly considered the aforesaid contentions.

5. During the course of arguments, the respondent-husband has placed on file his salary certificate for the month of April 2016, which shows that the gross salary of the respondent is Rs. 26,974/- and after deductions his carry home salary comes to Rs. 24,061/-. So, the respondent-husband is getting good salary. On the other hand, there is no material on record to show that the petitioner-wife is having sufficient income to maintain herself. Learned trial Court has

awarded the maintenance *pendente lite* to the petitioner-wife at the rate of Rs. 5000/- per month, which is inadequate keeping in view the needs of the petitioner-wife and the income of respondent which deserves to be enhanced to Rs. 6000/- per month.

6. Thus, keeping in view my aforesaid discussion, the present revision petition is hereby partly allowed. The petitioner-wife shall be entitled to the maintenance *pendente lite* at the rate of Rs. 6000/- per month from the date of the application under Section 24 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

**05.05.2016**

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**