

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6970 of 2016
Date of decision : 19.10.2016

Joginder Pal

...Petitioner

Versus

Bhupinder Singh

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-defendant is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 12.10.2016, whereby evidence of the petitioner has been closed by order.

Mr. K.S. Dadwal, Advocate for the petitioner submits that in case, two opportunities are granted, he will conclude the evidence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant and appraised the paper book.

In order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant two effective opportunities to the petitioner to conclude the evidence i.e. by examining the handwriting expert.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-defendant shall be given

liberty to conclude evidence, subject to the cost of ₹5000/-, which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the Courts below shall stands restored.

The revision petition is allowed.

Petitioner-defendant is also granted permission to tender some documents

19.10.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No