

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.6960 of 2016

Date of Decision: October 19<sup>th</sup>, 2016

Vijay Agency

...Petitioner

Versus

Barjesh Grover

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE**

Present: Mr.Peeush Gagneja, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner-defendant is aggrieved of the order dated 3.9.2016,  
whereby his evidence has been closed by order.

Mr.Peeush Gagneja, learned counsel for the petitioner submits  
that in case one opportunity is granted, he will examine one witness only.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant  
and appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt, the petitioner-  
defendant was negligent in concluding the evidence. However, in order to  
advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to  
grant one opportunity to the petitioner to examine one witness.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the  
impugned order is set-aside and the petitioner-defendant shall examine one  
witness subject to payment of ₹5,000/- as costs, which shall be condition  
precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed by the Court

below shall stand restored.

Revision petition stands allowed.

**October 19<sup>th</sup>, 2016**  
**ramesh**

**( AMIT RAWAL )**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable:**

**Yes/No**