

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6941 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 19.10.2016

Col. Balwinder Singh Guraya

...Petitioner

Versus

General Public and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Roshan Lal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner-applicant is aggrieved of the impugned order dated 21.09.2016, whereby evidence of the petitioner has been closed by order.

Mr. Roshan Lal Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner submits that in case, one opportunity is granted, he will conclude the evidence.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner-applicant and appraised the paper book.

In order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to conclude the evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-applicant shall be given

liberty to conclude evidence, subject to the cost of ₹5000/-, which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the Courts below shall stands restored.

The revision petition is allowed.

19.10.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No