

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6933 of 2016
Date of decision : 19.10.2016

Varinder Parkash

...Petitioner

Versus

Pawandeep Kaur and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner-husband is aggrieved of the impugned order, whereby ad interim maintenance of ₹5,000/- per month to the wife and ₹2,000/- per month to the child aged four years, has been granted.

Mr. R.D. Bawa, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner-husband submits that no doubt petitioner was working as Teacher on contract basis in Government Senior Secondary School Panjokhra Sahib, District Ambala but the contract expired in March, 2016 yet the Courts below has taken income of the petitioner as ₹20,000/- whereas he is not earning the abovesaid income, at the best he could be stated to have earning on daily basis, therefore, granting of interim maintenance is phenomenal.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and appraised the paper book and of the view that such plea is devoid of merits as Teacher

cannot be said to be earning on daily basis. There is always a presumption that he would be earning by imparting education/coaching classes i.e. tuitions etc.

In my view, maintenance pendente lite as per status of the parties as noticed above is not phenomenal.

I do not intend to differ with the findings rendered by the trial Court.

Accordingly, present revision petition is dismissed.

19.10.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No