

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**Civil Revision No.6900 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 23, 2016.**

M/s Sajjan Kumar Kailash Chand

.....PETITIONER (s).

VERSUS

Aruna Jain

.....RESPONDENT(s).

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr.Rahul Sharma, Advocate, for
Mr.Rose Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.
Mr.Ajay Jain, Advocate,
for the caveator.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

It has been argued that the revision petition bearing CR No.6173 of 2016 filed by the other tenant Vinod Kumar in the adjoining shop has already been dismissed vide order dated 7.11.2016 wherein all the pleas taken by the revision petitioner in this revision petition have been discarded. The case of the revision petitioner stands on the similar footing. He is a tenant in one of the shop bearing No.19/B/11 for which the bonafide need of the landlord-respondent to set up a showroom has been upheld.

In terms of the order passed in CR No.6173 of 2016, learned counsel for the revision petitioner has not addressed any further arguments.

Dismissed.

November 23, 2016.

Paritosh Kumar

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No