

**246 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR-6911-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.01.2016**

POOJA MEHRA

....Petitioner

Versus

PARKASH CHAND SHARMA & ANR

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dipinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioner has challenged the order whereby the Rent Controller has declined to implead her as a party. During the arguments the learned counsel have settled the limited dispute.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that in case the petitioner does not delay the proceedings he would have no objection on her impleadment. He further submits that the matter is now fixed for 22/23.02.2016 and in case the petitioner undertakes to file her reply on the next date, he would not oppose the prayer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from his client states that she would not seek further adjournment and also file her reply on the next date.

The revision is allowed in the above terms and the petitioner be impleaded as respondent No.2 in the ejectment petition. It is, however, clarified that in case the petitioner does not file her written statement on the next date the present petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

January 14, 2016
ps-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE