

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No.6876 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.11.2016

Navraj Dheer

....Petitioner

Versus

Prem Lata Kainth and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. I.P.S. Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harsh Kinra, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

REKHA MITTAL J. (Oral)

Counsel for respondent No.1 (decree-holder) has submitted that though dispossession of the petitioner from the premises in question in execution of eviction order is duly protected by order dated 12.10.2016 passed in Civil Revision No.6881 of 2016, however, the respondent undertakes not to evict the petitioner in execution of any order passed in his favour till the appeal preferred by the petitioner pending before the Court of District Judge, Panchkula (Appellate Court) is decided.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of.

**(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE**

08.11.2016

yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No