

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CR No. 685 of 2016(O&M)

Date of decision :01.02.2016

Prakas alias Om Prakash

..... Petitioner

Versus

Richhpal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

Present: Ms.Savita Tanwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DARSHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

The present revision petition has been preferred against the order dated 16.04.2015, vide which the application filed by the petitioner for recalling of DW-5 Dharam Pal Singh, the stamp vendor was dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that recalling of DW-5 Dharam Pal Singh, stamp vendor was necessary to show that the thumb impression in his register is fake and it does not relate to deceased-Dariya.

3. I have duly considered the aforesaid plea.

4. Petitioner-plaintiff has challenged the relinquishment deed dated 26.08.2010 on the ground that the said deed has been procured by taking the benefit of illness of deceased-Dariya. So, it is not the case of the plaintiff-petitioner that somebody else has impersonated in place of deceased-Dariya before Dharam Pal

Singh, the stamp vendor.

5. So, I do not find any illegality in the impugned order calling for the interference by this Court while exercising the supervisory powers under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

6. Resultantly, the present revision petition having, no merits, is hereby dismissed.

01.02.2016

S.khan

**(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**