

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6557-2014

Date of decision : 03.03.2016

Karmjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Iqbal Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Bhisham Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. G.L.Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has received instructions from Mr. G.L.Bajaj, arguing counsel that the matter has been compromised and therefore, no cause of action survives in the present revision petition, accordingly, the revision petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

03.03.2016
yogesh

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**