

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6555 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: March 11, 2016

Inderjit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Baltej Singh and another

...Respondents

ORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have not been able to comply with the direction to pay ₹18,84,175/-. It only means as a consequence that his own application for staying the proceedings till the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC is disposed of cannot secure to him any favourable result.
2. The revision is dismissed. It shall be open for the petitioners to move an application in the petition filed for setting aside ex-parte decree for similar relief and the Court will be competent to impose such conditions as it deems fit, if it is of the view that the prayer for stay should be considered.

March 11, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE