

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R No. 678 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 01, 2016

Inderjit Singh,

..... Petitioner

v.

Surinder Kumar and another,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Ms. Mamta Malik Rewar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 5.12.2015 passed by the Rent Controller whereby the other legal representatives of Ajmer Kaur have been impleaded as the petitioners in the rent petition filed by the petitioner. The only ground taken is that it was the petitioner who was the only legal heir and the other LR's had no locus standi because the original owner had executed a gift deed in favour of the petitioner and, therefore, neither could she become a party nor any other LR could become a party.

It is not disputed that civil suits are pending between the parties. Resultantly, at this state the Rent Controller could not come to a conclusion and give a finding that the petitioner was the only person entitled to file the petition and would have to implead the other contenders

leaving it open to the civil Court to decide their inter-se dispute.

Consequently, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

February 01, 2016
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE