

221.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Revision No.645 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:12.05.2016

Krishan Lal

... Petitioner

versus

Constable Jagpal Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. After the plaintiff's side was closed and when it was posted for the defendant's evidence, the defendant wanted the court to issue notice to S.S.P., Patiala seeking for production of some letter. If the petitioner has given information about the fact that defendants 1 and 2 could not have been in joint duty, it will be open to confront to such a fact at the time of cross examination and after the conclusion of the evidence of the defendant, the plaintiff may be at liberty to secure any proof as regards the assignment of duty to respondents 1 and 2 on the relevant date. The impugned order has been passed on 20.11.2013 and the case has been adjourned from

time to time on various occasions at the instance of the petitioner. I do not think any purpose will be served by serving notice now for hearing of the case. I dismiss the revision upholding the order and allow for trial to progress but give the liberty to the petitioner the benefit of securing any proof in the manner referred to above at the stage of rebuttal.

2. The revision petition is disposed of with the above observations.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

12.05.2016
sanjeev