

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

C.R. No.6730 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision.18.01.2016

Jaswant Kaur and others

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Amarjit Kaur

.....Respondent

Present: Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Pankaj Bali, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

K. KANNAN J. (ORAL)

C.M. No.964-CII of 2016

The application for preponing the date of hearing of the case is allowed. The case is taken up today itself in the light of statement made by the counsel that he is fully prepared with the arguments on merits of the case.

C.R. No.6730 of 2015

1. An unstamped agreement is in the nature of a document which is insufficiently stamped and the Court has ordered the impounding of the document. The objection taken now before this Court is that only insufficiently stamped document can be impounded and if it is on the plain paper, it can not be impounded. It is clear misunderstanding of the provision of law, for agreement on plain paper must be treated as an agreement written on insufficiently stamped document and therefore, in

order to validate the same in the manner required under Section 35 of the Stamp Act, stamp duty penalty is liable to be paid. The Court has done what is appropriate and just.

2. There is no scope for interference. The civil revision is dismissed.

(K. KANNAN)
JUDGE

January 18, 2016
Pankaj*