

224

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CR-6424-2014

Date of decision : 01.03.2016

Hazari Lal Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Jatin Hans, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate
for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives in the present revision petition, accordingly, the revision petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

01.03.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE