

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 64 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision: February 8,2016.

Vijay Parkash Goel

.....Petitioner

VS.

Municipal Council and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Chanderkant Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Sindhu, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

K.KANNAN,J(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner says that the revision is against an interim order in a suit but the suit itself has been disposed of.

The Revision petition has become infructuous, and disposed of as such.

FEBRUARY 08, 2016

Rajeev

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**