

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.R. No.6652 of 2016
Date of Decision.03.10.2016**

Harpreet and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

Inder Singh and anotherRespondents

Present: Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

-.-

AMIT RAWAL J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-plaintiff is aggrieved of the order dated 17.08.2016, whereby, his documentary evidence has been closed.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Rehan, Advocate for the petitioner submits that the issues have been framed and in case, one opportunity is granted, he will place on record the documentary evidence

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff and appraised the paper book and of the view that no doubt, the petitioner-plaintiff was negligent in placing on record the documentary evidence. However, in order to advance justice and prevent miscarriage of justice, I deem it appropriate to grant one effective opportunity to the petitioner to place on record one documentary evidence.

Keeping in view the aforementioned observations, the impugned order is set aside and the petitioner-plaintiff shall place on record one documentary evidence in accordance with law subject to payment of costs of

₹5,000/- which shall be condition precedent. If the costs is not paid as directed, the order passed already by the court below shall stand restored.

The impugned order is set aside and the revision petition is allowed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

October 03, 2016
Pankaj*

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes

Whether reportable No