

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 6571 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 21, 2016

Sheela Devi & others

...Petitioners

Versus

Sunil Kumar & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Counsel for the tenants-respondents submits that the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous as the appeal has already been decided by the Appellate Authority, revision against which preferred by the respondents has already been dismissed by this Court by granting them time to vacate the premises.

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents, the present revision petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

September 21, 2016

Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No