

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 659 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 6, 2016

Shri Ram General Insurance Company Limited

...Petitioner

Versus

Mukesh Kumar and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. **Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajeet Pal Singh Pakka, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 says that since proceedings before the court below have already been concluded, this revision petition has become infructuous. The revision is disposed of as having become infructuous.

There is no representation for the petitioner. The petitioner is at liberty to approach this court for review, if there is any misstatement or any further rights of the parties subsists to be prosecuted.

April 6, 2016
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE