

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh**

**Civil Revision No. 6564 of 2016**

**Date of Decision:30.9.2016**

**Karamjit Kaur and others**

**---Petitioners**

**versus**

**Simranjit Singh @ Simri and others**

**---Respondents**

**Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal**

**Present: Mr. Sunil K.Singla, Advocate  
for the petitioners**

**\*\*\***

**REKHA MITTAL, J.**

The present petition has been directed against orders dated 29.4.2016 (Annexure P-6) passed by the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Nabha and dated 20.8.2016 (Annexure P-7) passed by the Additional District Judge, Patiala whereby application of the petitioners filed under Order 33 Rule 1 of the Code of Civil Procedure (in short "CPC") to sue as indigent persons, has been dismissed.

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that he does not press the petition with regard to dismissal of claim of the petitioners to sue as indigent persons but they are not liable to pay court fee on the amount of Rs. 80 lakhs, claimed as damages for murder of Harpreet Singh, predecessor-in-interest of the petitioners.

Perusal of the order passed by the trial court would evident that court has declined prayer of the petitioners to sue as indigent persons but in the

concluding para 14 they have been directed to furnish requisite court fee. It appears that the trial court has not adverted to the issue as to what would be requisite court fee in the circumstances of the present case.

The petition stands disposed of with liberty to the petitioners to seek necessary clarification from the trial court as to what does requisite court fee means.

**(Rekha Mittal)**  
**Judge**

**30.9.2016**

PARAMJIT

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No