

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No.6574 of 2015

Date of Decision: 21.7.2016

Balraj Singh and others

--- Petitioners

versus

Union of India and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: None for the petitioners
Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 1 and 5
Mr. Kapil Bansal, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No. 2 to 4
Mr. Surinder Dagar, Advocate
for Mr. Jagjeet Benewal, Advocate
for respondent No. 7
Ms. Roshni Lakhanpal, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 8 and 9
Mr. R. Kartikeya, Advocate
for respondent No. 10

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rekha Mittal, J.

There was no representation on behalf of the petitioners on the

last date. The situation is no different as on date.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

21.7.2016

PARAMJIT